



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/806,380	03/23/2004	Dong-gyun Ra	AB-1704 US	1827
32605 7590 03/31/2008 MACPHERSON KWOK CHEN & HEID LLP 2033 GATEWAY PLACE SUITE 400 SAN JOSE, CA 95110				
EXAMINER				
CHOWDHURY, AFROZA Y				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2629				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/31/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/806,380

Applicant(s)

RA, DONG-GYUN

Examiner

AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY

Art Unit

2629

Period for Reply -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 10-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-8508)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/3/2008
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment received on **March 13, 2008** has been entered. Claims 1-8 and 10-16 are currently pending. Applicant's newly added claims and arguments are addressed herein below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1–8, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lee et al. (US 2002/0057247).

As to claim 1, 4, and 8, Lee et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device, comprising: a liquid crystal module including a liquid crystal panel, a gate driving unit (fig. 3(200)) for delivering scanning signals to the liquid crystal panel, and a data driving unit [fig. 3(300)] for delivering image signals to the liquid crystal panel;

a timing controller (fig. 6(100)) for providing timing signal used to control display of the liquid crystal module (page 4, [0099] – [0100], page 5, [0121] – [0122]);

a mode setting unit (fig. 6(400, 520)) for outputting a control signal according to a selected display mode (page 1, [0020], pages 4-5, [0100] – [0102], [0105]);

an inverter control unit (fig. 6(510)) for selectively outputting the timing signal received from the timing controller according to the control signal from the mode setting unit;

an inverter (fig. 6(700)) which is operated in synchronous mode when the timing signal is received from the inverter control unit and in asynchronous mode when the timing signal is not received from the inverter control unit (fig. 4, pages 4-5, [0104] – [0105]); and

and a lamp (fig. 6(800)) which is operated at a relevant frequency according to the operation mode of the inverter (fig. 3, page 5, [0105] – [0107]).

As to claims 2, 5, and 12, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the timing signal is a gate select signal or data clock signal (fig. 3, page 4, [0093], [0096] – [0097]).

As to claims 3, 6, and 13, Lee et al. discloses a liquid crystal display device wherein the timing signal is a vertical or horizontal synchronous signal (fig. 4, pages 4-5, [0104] – [0105]).

As to claim 7, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the mode setting unit is included in the timing controller (page 1, [0020], pages 4-5, [0100] – [0102], [0105]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 9–11 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee et al. (US 2002/0057247) in view of Park (US 20020130830).

As to claim 9, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device with an OCD (Optical Compensated Birefringency) mode (page 3, [0097]). He did not teach moving image or still image mode. Park discloses LCD having a driving method of moving picture and still-image mode (page 1, [0010] – [0011], page 5, [0077] – [0078]). Therefore, it would be obvious to combine Park's method with the invention of Lee et al. to adapt a method wherein the display mode is either moving-image or still-image mode.

As to claim 10, Park teaches a method wherein the steps of outputting a first level control signal when the display mode is the moving-image mode, or outputting a second level control signal when the display mode is the still-image mode (page 4, [0070] – [0071], page 5, [0077] – [0078]).

As to claim 11, Park discloses a method wherein the steps of outputting the timing signal received from the outside when the second level control signal is applied, or not outputting the timing signal received from the outside when the second level control signal is applied (page 5, [0077] – [0078], [0080]).

As to claims 14 and 15, Park teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the display mode is either a moving-image or a still-image mode (page 1, [0010]).

As to claim 16, Lee et al. teaches a liquid crystal display device wherein the operation of the lamp is synchronized with the timing signal (page 5, [0105]).

Lee et al. does not explicitly teach wheather the display mode is the still-image mode when the operation of the lamp is synchronized with the timing signal.

However, it would be obvious for a liquid crystal display device wherein the operation of the lamp is synchronized with the timing signal when the display mode is the still-image mode.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on **March 13, 2008** have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In 3rd paragraph of page 1 of Remarks, Applicant argues, "Lee's inverter has no synchronous or asynchronous operation whatsoever." Examiner respectfully disagrees with this assertion.

Lee's reference clearly teaches synchronous or asynchronous operation of an inverter with regard to a timing signal. Fig. 6 shows when a switching unit 510 (inverter control unit). When switch 516 is connected, B/L control signal (from timing controller) turns on inverter 700 and the inverter 700 operates in synchronous mode. When switch 516 is disconnected, no signal is applied from timing controller and the inverter 700 operates in asynchronous mode.

In 1st paragraph of 2nd page of Remarks, Applicant argues that Lee's element 400 and 520 have no ability to control the switches in element 510 of Lee's fig. 6. The Examiner again respectfully disagrees.

DC-DC converter 400 and second switching unit 520 outputting control signals to display according to display mode. Fig. 4 shows when B/L control signal is on and bias control is off, the inverter 700 operates in synchronous mode. When B/L control signal is off and bias control is on, the inverter 700 operates in asynchronous mode (see [0105] – [0106]).

In response to Applicant's argument regarding claim 4 same explanation for claim 1 as discussed can be applied.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AFROZA Y. CHOWDHURY whose telephone number is (571)270-1543. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00 EST, 5/4/9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on 571-272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC
3/26/2008
/Bipin Shalwala/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629